

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Alberts of MMISSUPPORT ACTIVITY AND FRANCES WAS ARRESTED FOR A STATE OF A WAS ARRESTED FOR

| APPLICATION NO | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 09 914,532 | 08 30 2001 | Heiko Maas | 213127US0 | 7833 |
| 22850 | 7590 | | | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLANI | | , MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | EXAMINER | |
| 1940 DUKE S ALEXANDRI | A, VA 22314 | | DANG, THUAN D | |
| | | | ARTUNII | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1764 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 04-29-2002 | 3 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| | | ` ` ` ` ` ' Y ` ` |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) | • |
| 09/914,532 | MAAS ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Thuan D. Dang | 1764 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

| Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | artee), or (o) a timely filed residuost for continued |
|--|--|
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check | ceither a) or b)] |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final re | ejection. |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Actio no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH 706.07(f). | MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)) | • |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | |
| (a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further consider: | ation and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better for issues for appeal; and/or | m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corres | ponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowabe canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | le if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment |
| 5 ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsider application in condition for allowance because: the argument is | |
| 6 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is no raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ot directed SOLELY to issues which were newly |
| 7 ⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ wire explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected. | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | |
| Claim(s) objected to: none. | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| 8 The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) appropriate | oved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO- | 1449) Paper No(s) |
| 10. Other: | |
| | Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764 |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment of claim 1 by adding limitations "carried out continuously in the liquid phase" from claim 5, "from 10 to 30" from claim 2, "a throughput of" and "through the catalyst in a single pass", and the amendment of claim 2 clearly raises reav issues